

|   |                 |                  |  |
|---|-----------------|------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |
|   | 09/749,165      | SOBOL, ROBERT E. |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |
|   | Wes Tucker      | 2624             |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Wes Tucker.

(2) Jon Holland Reg. No. 41,077.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called to see if a response had been filed. Applicant's representative indicated that no response had been filed and the case is therefore effectively abandoned.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Wes Tucker 8-11-06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)